

United States Patent and Trademark Office

Cen

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/758,209	01/14/2004	Samer Kabbani	047589-0167	4869	
22428 EOLEV AND 1	7590 02/08/2007		EXAMINER		
FOLEY AND LARDNER LLP SUITE 500			KARLSEN, ERNEST F		
3000 K STREET NW WASHINGTON, DC 20007			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
WASHINGTO	N, DC 20007		2829		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			02/08/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/758,209	KABBANI ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Ernest F. Karlsen	2829		

	Ernest F. Karlsen	2829	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with t	he correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 16 January 2007 FAILS TO PLACE THIS	APPLICATION IN CONDITION	FOR ALLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or of this application, applicant must timely file one of the follo places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliantime periods:	wing replies: (1) an amendmen otice of Appeal (with appeal fee	t, affidavit, or other evider) in compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date	e of the final rejection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this a no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	later than SIX MONTHS from the m (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN	ailing date of the final rejecti	on.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extender 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	on which the petition under 37 CFI dension and the corresponding amo shortened statutory period for reply or than three months after the mailin	ount of the fee. The appropr originally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in com filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any external a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS 	ension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of th	ns of the date of e appeal. Since
AMENDIMENTS 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a h	orief will not be entered b	0031150
(a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further compared to they raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below). They are not deemed to place the application in be	onsideration and/or search (see ow);	NOTE below);	
appeal; and/or			
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a		y rejected claims.	
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.		0 15 14	(DTOL 004)
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.		n-Compliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s		ata timaly filad amandm	ent consoling the
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s). 	mowabie ii submilited iii a sepai	ate, unlely med amending	ent canceling the
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proof the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	⊠ will not be entered, or b) ☐ ovided below or appended.] will be entered and an e	explanation of
Claim(s) allowed:		• *	
Claim(s) objected to:		•	
Claim(s) rejected: 6-11 and 27-30. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 1-5 and 12-26.			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, b because applicant failed to provide a showing of good at was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	nd sufficient reasons why the af	fidavit or other evidence i	s necessary and
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessa	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under a ry and was not earlier presente	ippeal and/or appellant fa d. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(ils to provide a 1).
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	on of the status of the claims af	ter entry is below or attac	hed.
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by	ut does NOT place the applicat	ion in condition for allowa	nce because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). 13. Other:	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).		
10. 🗀 Otilet	5	most The	when
			`

ERNEST KARLSEN PRIMARY EXAMINER Continuation of 3. NOTE:

Applicants argue that Burward-Hoy relates to resistive heating or fluid heat excchange. The Examiner, in the Final Rejection, pointed to column 3, lines 17 plus and to Figure 13 as well. Note also that column 3, lines 17 plus point to Figures 12 and 13. That part of Burward-Hoy related to Figures 12 and 13, column 8, line 63 to column 9, line 67, clearly indicates that an inductive heater and a fluid heat exchanger are present.

The rejection of claim 10 is considered proper and claim 6, as proposed to be amended, would be considered unpatentable for the

reason given for rejecting claim 10.

The amendment will not be entered because it would not place the application in condition for allowance and entry would raise new issues due to the presence of claims 7-9, 11 and 27-30 to combinations of elements not previously considered.

PRIMARY EXAM!NER